

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Marcus Grissett,)	CIVIL ACTION NO. 9:17-2872-DCC-BM
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
)	
J. Reuben Long Detention Center,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
_____)	

This action has been filed by the Plaintiff, pro se, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff, who at the time he filed this case was a pretrial detainee at the J. Reuben Long Detention Center, alleges violations of his constitutional rights.

On December 13, 2017, a Notice of Change of Case Number was mailed to Plaintiff. However, Plaintiff's copy of the Court's Notice was returned to the Clerk of Court on December 27, 2017, with the envelope being marked "Return to sender, attempted - refused - unable to forward". The return envelope also indicated that Plaintiff had been released from custody. As a result neither the Court nor the Defendant has any means of contacting him concerning his case.

Based on the foregoing, it is recommended that this action be **dismissed, without prejudice**, in accordance with Rule 41(b), Fed.R.Civ.P. **The Clerk shall mail this Report and Recommendation to Plaintiff at his last known address. If the Plaintiff notifies the Court within the time set forth for filing objections to this Report and Recommendation that he wishes to continue with this case and provides a current address, the Clerk is directed to vacate this Report and Recommendation and return this file to the undersigned for further handling.**

181

If, however, no objections are filed, the Clerk shall forward this Report and Recommendation to the District Judge for disposition.

Plaintiff is also referred to the Notice Page attached hereto.



Bristow Marchant
United States Magistrate Judge

January 2, 2018
Charleston, South Carolina



Notice of Right to File Objections to Report and Recommendation

The parties are advised that they may file specific written objections to this Report and Recommendation with the District Judge. Objections must specifically identify the portions of the Report and Recommendation to which objections are made and the basis for such objections. “[I]n the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must ‘only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.’” *Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310 (4th Cir. 2005) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee’s note).

Specific written objections must be filed within fourteen (14) days of the date of service of this Report and Recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b); *see* Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(a), (d). Filing by mail pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5 may be accomplished by mailing objections to:

Robin L. Blume, Clerk
United States District Court
Post Office Box 835
Charleston, South Carolina 29402

Failure to timely file specific written objections to this Report and Recommendation will result in waiver of the right to appeal from a judgment of the District Court based upon such Recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); *Wright v. Collins*, 766 F.2d 841 (4th Cir. 1985); *United States v. Schronce*, 727 F.2d 91 (4th Cir. 1984).